

Consultation Report Silvercreek Solar Park

Submission to: Ministry of the Environment

Renewable Energy Approval Unit

2 St. Clair Avenue West

Floor 12A

Toronto, Ontario

M4V 1L5

Submission on behalf of: Silvercreek Solar Park Inc.

Dave Moerman, President Tel: (519) 617-9463

E-mail: info@silvercreeksolar.com

Contact: ORTECH Power

Leah Deveaux, EA Specialist Compliance & Permitting

Tel: (905) 822-4120, Ext. 305

Fax: (905) 855-0406 E-mail: ldeveaux@ortech.ca

Report No.: 70287

37 pages and 20 Exhibits

Date: November 30, 2012

Table of Contents

			Page No
1.	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	General Information.	
	1.2	Purpose of the Consultation Report	
	1.3	Consultation Activities Timing	
2.		MARY OF CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES AND TIMING	
	2.1	Communication Methods	
	2.2	Project Distribution List	
		2.2.1 Assessed Land Owners	5
		2.2.2 Municipalities	5
		2.2.3 Aboriginal Communities	5
		2.2.4 Updates to the Project Distribution List	6
	2.3	Public Notices	6
		2.3.1 Notice of Proposal to Engage & Notice of Public Meeting	6
		2.3.2 Notice of Change to Proposal	
		2.3.3 Notice of Public Meeting #2	
	2.4	Project Communications	
	2.5	Public Meetings	
	2.5	2.5.1 December 18, 2009 Open House	
		2.5.2 January 7, 2010 Malahide Township Council Meeting	
		2.5.3 October 4, 2012 Open House	
	2.6	Public Comments	
	2.0	rubic Comments	11
3.	MU	NICIPAL AND AGENCY CONSULTATION	15
	3.1	Project Notification	15
	3.2	Malahide Township Consultation	15
		3.2.1 Malahide Township Notices and Consultation Form	
		Distribution	16
		3.2.2 Overview of Comments from Malahide Township Municipal	
		Staff	
	3.3	Elgin County Consultation	22
	0.0	3.3.1 Notices and Municipal Consultation Form Distribution	
		3.3.2 Overview of Consultation with Elgin County	
	3.4	Correspondence with Other Agencies	
	J. T	3.4.1 Correspondence with the Ministry of Natural Resources	
		\sim \sim \sim	
		3.4.3 Catfish Creek Conservation Authority	
		3.4.4 Other Agency Consultation	26

Table of Contents – Continued

			Page No.
4.	ARC	ORIGINAL CONSULTATION	27
••	4.1	MOE Aboriginal List	
	4.2	Oneida Nation of the Thames	
	4.3	Chippewas of the Thames First Nation	
	4.4	Munsee-Delaware Nation	
	4.5	Bkejwanong Territory Walpole Island First Nation	
	4.6	Six Nations of the Grand River Six Nations (Part 40)	
	4.7	Six Nations of the Grand River Haudenosaunee Confederacy	
		Council/Haudenosaunee Development Institute	34
5.	ON	GOING ENGAGEMENT	36
•	5.1	Community Updates	
	5.2	Community Contact Information	
	3.2		
6.	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS & REFERENCES	37
<u>Tab</u>	<u>les</u>		
Tabl	le 1: T	Fimeline of Regulated Activities	3
Tabl	e 2: (Open House 1 Summary	9
Tabl	e 3: (Open House 2 Summary	10
		Public Comment & Responses	
Tabl	le 5: N	Malahide Township Consultation Timeline	16
		Malahide Township Consultation Summary	
		Elgin County Consultation Timeline	
		Elgin County Consultation Summary	
		Ministry of the Environment Timeline of Consultation	
		Catfish Creek Conservation Authority Summary of Consultation .	
		Oneida of the Thames Consultation Timeline	
		Chippewas of the Thames Consultation Timeline	
		Munsee-Delaware Consultation Timeline	31
Tabl		Bkejwanong Territory Walpole Island First Nation Consultation	
		Timeline	
Tabl		Six Nations of the Grand River Six Nations (Part) 40 Consultation	
		Timeline	34
Tabl		Six Nations of the Grand River Haudenosaunee Confederacy	_
		Council/HDI Consultation Timeline	36

Consultation Report to the Ministry of the Environment

Project No. 70287

Table of Contents - Continued

EXHIBITS

Exhibit A: Project Distribution List	Tab A
Exhibit B: Project Notifications	Tab B
Exhibit C: Project Communications	Tab C
Exhibit D: Open House #1 Dec 18, 2009	Tab D
Exhibit E: Malahide Township Council Meeting	Tab E
Exhibit F: Open House #2 Oct 2, 2012	Tab F
Exhibit G: Municipal Consultation: Malahide Township	Tab G
Exhibit H: Municipal Consultation: Elgin County	
Exhibit I: Agency Consultation: MNR	
Exhibit J: Agency Consultation: MOE	
Exhibit K: Agency Consultation: CCCA	
Exhibit L: Agency Consultation: Other Agencies	
Exhibit M: Aboriginal Consultation List	
Exhibit N: Oneida of the Thames	Tab N
Exhibit O: Chippewas of the Thames First Nation	Tab O
Exhibit P: Munsee-Delaware Nation	
Exhibit Q: Bkejwanong Territory Walpole Island First Nation	
Exhibit R: Six Nations of the Grand River Six Nations (Part) 40	_
Exhibit S: Six Nations of the Grand River Haudenosaunee Confeder	
Council/Haudenosaunee Development Institute	•
Exhibit T: HDI Application for Engagement	

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Information

Silvercreek Solar Park Inc. (Silvercreek) is proposing to develop a new 10 MW ground-mounted solar photovoltaic (PV) facility located in Elgin County within the Township of Malahide, 9 km south of the town of Aylmer. This project will be located primarily on privately owned lands, with the exception of the distribution line which will be located on municipally controlled lands.

The Project requires construction of on-site roads to access the modules and substation. In order to connect the Project to the sub-station and consequently to the Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI) system, construction of a new 34.5 kV underground electrical distribution line will occur within the Municipal Right of Way (Figure 2). An additional 115 kV cable will run less than 500 m to connect the substation to the adjacent Hydro One system as shown in Figure 3.

On July 1, 2012 amendments to Ontario Regulation 359/09 (O.Reg 359/09), the Renewable Energy Approvals Regulation came into force. This amendment allowed for projects which were significantly advanced to continue under the previous regulation. Given that Proponent has completed a significant amount of progress towards completion of the REA; they have opted to continue under the January 1, 2011 requirements.

1.2 Purpose of the Consultation Report

This consultation report is being prepared as part of a complete Renewable Energy Approval Submission for Silvercreek Solar Park. The purpose of this report is to achieve two key objectives:

- To document how consultation activities were undertaken in accordance with the regulatory requirements, and
- To provide a record of the comments and information received by the applicant through consultation and to document how comments were considered. This includes creating a record of whether and how the project was modified as a result of comments received.

1.3 Consultation Activities Timing

Silvercreek Solar Park began a consultation program in late 2009 prior to publication of the REA guidance materials. Between April of 2010 and July 2011 the proponent investigated alternate connection points related to the OPA's FIT contract requirements. During this period primary consultation activities involved discussions with OPA and the Municipality of Malahide regarding connection points and distribution line routing. The project was awarded a FIT contract in the Bruce to Milton Expansion Contract Awards in July of 2011 based upon a new connection point via the Aylmer transmission Station. Following the award of a FIT contract the project incorporated changes to the project layout.

The Project Team sought guidance from the Ministry of the Environment in the summer of 2011 in order to validate the work carried out in 2009. This resulted in a Notice of Project Change being delivered to land owners along the altered Project Location. Further information on MOE consultation can be found in section 3.4.2.

Table 1 below describes the dates on which regulated REA consultation activities were carried out. As Ontario Regulation 359/09 was updated throughout the process of developing this Project, the following discussion identifies where this project maintains the January 2011 requirements:

- The Municipal package provided on January 18, 2012 included only summaries of the Natural Heritage Assessment and Archaeological Assessment completed up to that time as required by the regulation. The Draft Project Description Report, Draft Construction Plan Report, Draft Design and Operations Report, Draft Decommissioning Report and Municipal Consultation Form were provided in that package
- In accordance with the January 2011 O.Reg 359/09 requirements a
 confirmation letter from the MNR was provided with the August 2, 2012
 release of the Draft REA Submission package for public review, as well as
 drafts of the Stage 2 Archaeology Reports and Ministry of Tourism Sport
 and Culture letters pertaining to those reports.

Consultation Report to the Ministry of the Environment

Page No. 3 of 37 Project No. 70287

Table 1 – Timeline of Regulated Activities

Table 1 – Timeline of Regulated Activities				
Milestone	Date	Second Publication	Publication/Location	
Notice of	November 18,	December 9, 2009	Aylmer Express &	
Engagement &	2009		Website	
Public Open House				
Draft Documents to	December 2, 2009	July 25, 2012	N/A	
Aboriginal				
Communities				
Draft Project	December 12,	August 2, 2009	Aylmer Express &	
Description Report	2009		Website	
First Open House	December 18,	N/A	Aylmer German	
	2009		Canadian Club	
Notice of Change of	December 16,	N/A	Landowners only	
Project Location ⁽¹⁾	2011			
Municipal	January 18, 2012	N/A	County of Elgin &	
Consultation Form			Malahide Township	
and Draft REA				
Reports provided				
Notice of Second	August 1, 2012 &	September 27, 2012	Aylmer Express,	
Open House and	August 2, 2012		website, Aylmer	
Release of Draft			Library	
Reports			-	
Second Open House	October 4, 2012	N/A	Aylmer German	
_			Canadian Club	
Notice of Minor	November 27,	N/A	Landowners Only	
Modification ⁽¹⁾	2012		-	
Review Periods				
_	Start Date	End Date	Comments Received	
First Open House	December 18,	January 4, 2009	4	
	2009			
Second Open House	August 1, 2012	November 5, 2012	1	
& Review Period				

⁽¹⁾ The Notice of Change of Project Location & Notice of Minor Modification involved the notification of landowners along the updated distribution line route and off-site substation property.

2. SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES AND TIMING

2.1 Communication Methods

Silvercreek Solar Park employed a variety of tools to disseminate information and engage with members of the public, interested parties, landowners, aboriginal communities, municipalities, provincial and federal agencies. It should be noted that the developer is an active long-time community resident and landowner of the property where the solar array will be developed. As this development is occurring adjacent to his place of residence in a town where he is reasonably well known, he has formally and informally made himself available at all times to those with questions and concerns regarding the project, particularly those immediately adjacent to the solar array.

ORTECH on behalf of Silvercreek Solar Park made information available through the following means:

- Project Notices published in the Aylmer Express;
- Hand delivered notices to landowners in the Study Area;
- Direct Mailings and Electronic Mailings to interested parties, agencies and aboriginal communities;
- Public Meetings;
- Presentation to Malahide Township Council;
- Project email address (info@silvercreeksolar.com;
- Project website (www.silvercreeksolar.com);
- Interviews in the local community newspaper (Aylmer Express);
- Information Releases through the County of Elgin;
- ORTECH Mailing address, phone number and contact person, and
- Document availability online and at the Aylmer Public Library.

All communications provided stakeholders with clear and multiple methods to contact ORTECH representatives if they had questions or comments regarding the project.

2.2 Project Distribution List

A list of key stakeholders was identified early on in the project planning process which continued to be updated as the project progressed. This list contained contacts including those at provincial and federal agencies, municipalities and interest groups. Private stakeholders who identified themselves through emails, or at public open house events as wanting to be part of the mailing list were included on the stakeholder list. The complete list can be found in Appendix A. The information pertaining to private stakeholders has been removed from this list in the interest of protecting their privacy. In addition to assessed landowners, thirty eight (38) private stakeholders were added to the project distribution list over the course of the consultation period which began in 2009.

2.2.1 Assessed Land Owners

Assessed landowners within 120m of project components received hand delivered copies of Project Notices. These landowners were identified using the developer's local knowledge of the area and a buffer distance applied through a mapping exercise. A list of 911 numbers of the properties receiving hand delivered notices were recorded but in the interests of protecting privacy has not been provided in this report.

2.2.2 Municipalities

The project is located entirely within Malahide Township, which is within the County of Elgin. The Township and the Country were included in the distribution lists as required by O.Reg 359/09. The town of Aylmer was formerly notified of the project in 2009.

2.2.3 Aboriginal Communities

In November 2009, Silvercreek Solar Park Inc. provided a draft Project Description Report to the Director of the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) in order to obtain an Aboriginal Communities List. An addendum to this report was provided in January of 2010. The list of identified aboriginal communities was provided on February 22, 2010.

Prior to receiving the MOE list of Aboriginal communities, ORTECH developed a contact list of aboriginal communities based on best professional judgment which included a focus on communities within approximately 100km of the Study Area. The project distribution list was updated to reflect the information provided by the MOE on February 22, 2010. Information regarding aboriginal consultation can be found in Section 4.

2.2.4 Updates to the Project Distribution List

The Project distribution list was updated from time to time throughout the REA process as a result of continued consultation activities. Additions occurred primarily as a result of public notices and public meetings through sign in sheets and public comments. Individuals who signed in at open house events were asked to indicate if they would like to receive mail, email or no communications, likewise if they filled in a comment card or survey at open houses or online. Any stakeholder who submitted questions or comments via email or mail was also added to the distribution list.

Protocols were put in place to allow for individuals to place comments and request that they not be added to the distribution list, or to be removed from the list at any time. Approximately six (6) individuals attended public open house events or left comments at an open house who did not leave contact information or requested not to be contacted. No individuals requested to be removed from the distribution list.

2.3 Public Notices

Silvercreek Solar Park began a consultation program which included public notices in late 2009 prior to publication of the REA guidance materials. Stakeholders were kept informed regarding continued project developments including changes to the project design, the availability of project information and opportunities to attend public open house information sessions.

2.3.1 Notice of Proposal to Engage & Notice of Public Meeting

A combined Notice of Proposal to Engage & Notice of Public Meeting was published in the Aylmer Express on November 18, 2009 and again on December

9, 2009. The first date of publication was thirty (30) days prior to the first Public Open House which was held on December 18, 2009. This notice is contained in Exhibit B.

On November 17, 2009 this notice was faxed to selected municipal, provincial and federal agencies, as indicated in the Distribution List found in Exhibit A. On November 18, 2009 copies of this notice were mailed to identified Aboriginal communities and hand delivered to assessed landowners within the study area.

2.3.2 Notice of Change to Proposal

During Project development a change to the connection point was required for FIT eligibility this resulted in a significant change in the Project Location, changing the location of the distribution line and adding a new high voltage substation. Following consultation with the MOE A Notice of Change to Proposal was hand delivered to assessed landowners along the expanded Project Location on December 16, 2011. This notice was to provide the public information pertaining to the expansion of the study area to include a new connection point. This notice is contained in Exhibit B.

2.3.3 Notice of Public Meeting #2

A Notice of Public Meeting for the second public meeting was published in the Aylmer express on August 1, 2012, and again on September 27, 2012. The notice was published more than sixty days in advance of the second public meeting which was held on October 4, 2012. The Notice was hand delivered to assessed landowners on August 1st and mailed to agencies and aboriginal communities. Notices were provided to the remainder of the distribution list the week of the September 27th posting. This notice is provided in Exhibit B. The notice requested comments to be submitted on or before November 5, 2012.

Following posting of this Notice on August 2, 2012 all documents required under O.Reg 359/09 were posted for review on the project website, and one hard copy and two CD copies were made available at the Aylmer public library. Prior to this public posting hard copies and electronic copies, as well as summaries were made available to all Aboriginal communities.

2.4 Project Communications

Beginning in 2009 a project website was available and used as a major component of the Project communication program. Project updates and news were posted to the website. A print out of the Project News page is provided in Exhibit C.

During the week of September 27, 2012 a letter was delivered to assessed landowners and private stakeholders on the distribution list which outlined the purpose of the Notice of Public Open House and the availability of draft documents for review and comment, including review locations, deadlines for comments and contact information. This letter was also made available on the website on August 2, 2012; it can be found in Exhibit C.

In November, 2012 due to ongoing stakeholder consultation and equipment availability it became necessary to incorporate minor modifications to the project design at the Solar Array location. The modifications included a change from a tracking system to a fixed tilt design and redesigning some of the internal access roads to allow for better site access for emergency vehicles. Resulting from the road layout changes some inverter/transformer units were relocated. As a result of this change the Project Team consulted with the MOE and suggested that a Notification should be delivered to all those assessed landowners within 120m of the Project Location. This communication was delivered on November 27, 2012 and can be found in Exhibit C.

2.5 Public Meetings

2.5.1 December 18, 2009 Open House

The purpose of this Public Open House was to introduce the Project, the process and the Project team to the community. This open house provided an opportunity for the community to learn about the project, learn about the process, voice concerns and provide input into the project design.

Display boards were presented which provided information on the project, the REA process, background information on the company, general information about solar power and information on the natural environment. These displays are provided in Exhibit D.

A short survey was provided at this open house, four surveys were returned. This survey attempted to capture demographic information of the individuals attending the open house and their concerns. Of the returned surveys none of them provided negative answers to the survey questions. A blank copy of this survey is found in Exhibit D. Survey questions were used to gauge overall response to the project and to help direct subsequent consultation; they are therefore not reflected in the comment summary provided in section 2.6

Table 2 - Open House 1 Summary

Public Open House 1	December 18, 2009
Municipality	Aylmer
Location	German Canadian Club
	522 Talbot St. W. Aylmer, ON
Attendees	25 - 30
Information Presented	8 Display Boards
	Draft Project Description Report
	Project Contact Information, Large
	Scale Maps
Deadline for comments on Materials	January 4, 2009
presented	

The project was generally well received by the attendees, a good number of participants came to learn about the process, and solar power in general. The Project Team fielded many questions about the Feed-in Tariff process and how members of the public could apply for contracts of their own.

Information captured from this public open house was considered in the applicable sections of the REA reports and considered by the Project team during planning and siting exercises as appropriate. A summary of all comments received from this Open House along with responses is provided in Section 2.6 below.

2.5.2 January 7, 2010 Malahide Township Council Meeting

On January 7, 2010 Silvercreek Solar Park Inc. was invited to give a presentation to the council for the township of Malahide. This was an open council meeting where members of the public were present, a representative from ORTECH made a presentation and both ORTECH and Silvercreek Solar were asked a series of questions by council. This meeting was planned and hosted by the municipality.

The presentation, agenda and the minutes, including questions asked by council are provided in Exhibit E.

2.5.3 October 4, 2012 Open House

The purpose of the second open house was to provide an opportunity for members of the community to ask questions related to the Project and the draft REA Reports, released for review on August 2, 2012, so that their comments and concerns could be incorporated into the Project design as appropriate. Display boards, copies of the Draft REA Reports, and large maps depicting individual properties were made available for review and comment.

As a result of information received through the survey from the Open House in December 2009 further information on the Distribution Line Routing, Project Design and Project Operations were provided at this open house.

Table 3 – Open House 2 Summary

Public Open House 2	October 4, 2012
Municipality	Aylmer
Location	German Canadian Club
	522 Talbot St. W. Aylmer, ON
Attendees	23-25
Information Presented	9 Display Boards
	Draft REA Submission Packages
	Project Contact Information, Large
	Scale Maps
Deadline for comments on materials	November 5, 2012
presented	

Information captured at this open house was considered by the project team when finalizing the Project where appropriate. The majority of comments were related to the construction of the distribution line. Many of the stakeholders understood that construction impacts are temporary and were interested in understanding what side of the road the line was proposed to run on so as to understand if it would impact their properties. Comments from this open house were limited and are summarized in Section 2.6 below. Open House display materials can be found in Exhibit F.

Once again the project was received well by most of the attendees; a number of participants attended the open house with inquiries regarding the status of the construction tendering process. These comments are not reflected in the comment summaries in Section 2.6 below as they were considered to be solicitations and therefore outside the REA process.

No formal written comments were received at this open house, and only one email comment was received from the general public as a result of the consultation program which began August 1, 2012 and lasted until November 5, 2012.

2.6 Public Comments

Overall public comment to this project was low with the majority of the comments being received informally through general conversation rather than through formal submission. Informal comments have been captured here where possible. A good number of formal solicitations for work have been received through the project email throughout the project planning period, those solicitations have not been recorded in this document, but have generally come from contractors in and around the project area and deserve to be noted as they generally reflect a neutral to positive sentiment towards the project from the community.

Table 4 – Public Comments & Responses

Theme	Date	Comment	Response
Aesthetics	December 18, 2009	Concerns regarding the aesthetic of the fence line along the south west corner of the road. Would like to see a conceptual rendering of the project at this corner	As a result of this concern the fence line for the project has been moved back 80m. The area between the fence and the road will continue to be actively farmed. A conceptual rendering was not completed at this time, but may be completed following detailed design.
	October 1, 2012	Concerned by the appearance of an 8' chain-link fence on the roadside of your project. Have you given any consideration to my request for some kind of planting along the roadside to hide the fencing, perhaps cedars supplied by the township?	In 2010 the original site layout did feature a fence along the roadside, to be as conscientious a possible to all our neighbors and in response to your comments regarding this layout it was decided to move the fence-line back approximately 80m. Now farming will continue to occur up to the roadside as it has in the past.
			As a result of this comment project maps will be updated to better clarify that the area between the fence line and the roadway will be farmed.
Property Values	December 18, 2009	Will the project have an impact on neighboring property values?	At this time the studies in Ontario on renewables focus on wind power aesthetics and they show that no direct negative impact loss stems from them. As the property owner is the developer he has a vested interest in ensuring the development is carried out in such a way that it does not have undue impacts on property values. The height of the solar panels will further limit the aesthetic impacts of the project.

Construction Impacts	October 1, 2012	During construction I expect there will be lots of truck traffic and digging going on. Will you be putting up a bond to cover the costs of any road damage?	Increased truck traffic, during the construction phase, may damage the roads. As my family also uses Vienna Line, and any damage to the roadway will affect all of us, the following is envisioned, Roads are most susceptible to damage in the spring when the thawing is occurring and road weight limits are in force. Silvercreek understands this and has scheduled construction in early summer to minimize any effects increased traffic will have on the roadways. Malahide Township has been, and will continue to be, fully engaged in the development and planning of this project. Silvercreek will abide by all municipal requirements.
Connection Line	December 18, 2009	Would there be a change in the existing electrical line route?	The existing line would follow the same path; the new line may use the same poles. That would be determined in consultation with Hydro One.
	December 18, 2009	Would the new connection line be high voltage?	No, the line will be a distribution voltage
	December 18, 2009	Where will the connection line run? Is it possible for the line to run underground?	At the time of this comment the connection line was planned to run above ground. As a result of this comment and other factors the connection line will run underground.
	October 4, 2012	What side of the roadway will the distribution line be buried on?	The distribution line will has been planned to follow: west side of Imperial Road, south side of Glencolin Line, east side of Hacienda Road, north side of Bradley Creek Line, east Side of Imperial Road & north side of Vienna Line

	October 1, 2012	Who will be paying for the costs involved in the installation of the distribution line?	Costs will be paid entirely by Silvercreek Solar Park. This includes the portions in the municipal right of way and those on private land.
General	December 18, 2009	Offer to allow Proponent to place panels and connection line on lands	This offer was not required at this time.
	December 18, 2009	It is wonderful (to) find someone that wants to put the effort to organize such a plan and the financial problem since the land was used for tobacco and must be used for something else	No Response required
	October 14, 2012	Where can I obtain non aggregated soil class maps of the area?	A follow up email was provided October 5, 2012 with links to the OMAFRA website and Agri-food Canada website
	July 13, 2011	Could you email me the open house comments for your Silvercreek Solar Open house?	Email response was provided on July 14, 2011 which outlined that comments as a result of consultation would not be available until later on in the permitting process, but that a comment sheet referenced on the website could be provided and that comments were still welcome as part of the process.

3. MUNICIPAL AND AGENCY CONSULTATION

3.1 Project Notification

Project notification began on November 17, 2009 when the Notice to Engage and Notice of Public Open House was submitted to the director of the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch of the Ministry of the Environment (MOE). On November 18, 2009 a draft of the Project Description report was provided to the Project contact at the Ministry of the Environment.

The Notice to Engage and Notice of Public Open House was distributed to agencies and organizations which were thought to have a potential interest in the project through out late November and early December. Additionally select groups were provided with follow up reminders inviting them to the project open house on December 15, 2009. This information is summarized in the Project Distribution List provided in Exhibit A.

Additional consultation with agencies, municipalities, and elected officials occurred through the course of the Project. Communication occurred through various media types including emails, letters, telephone correspondence and face to face meetings. A Summary of this correspondence can be found in the Distribution List in Exhibit A.

3.2 Malahide Township Consultation

Consultation with the Township of Malahide began in November of 2009 and is summarized below and in detail in the consultation summary table provided at the beginning of Exhibit G. Throughout the planning process the Project Team has maintain contact with the Municipality keeping them appraised of project status and attempting to notify them of public notifications prior to their publication. Consultation with the municipality was done in accordance with the January 2011 O.Reg 359/09 requirements which required the municipality to be provided with copies of the Draft Project Description Report, Draft Construction Plan Report, Draft Design and Operations Report, and Draft Decommissioning Report along with a consultation form no less than 90 days prior to the second public open house.

3.2.1 Malahide Township Notices and Consultation Form Distribution

The delivery of required Notices, Reports and the Municipal Consultation Form is summarized in Table 5 below.

Table 5 – Malahide Township Consultation Timeline

Milestone	Date	Delivered To
Notice of Engagement	November 17, 2009	Deputy Clerk
and Notice of Open		
House		
Draft REA Reports &	January 18, 2012	CAO/Clerk
Municipal Consultation		
Form		
Notice of Open House,	August 2, 2012	CAO/Clerk
Revised Draft REA		
Reports (for public		
review) and Municipal		
Consultation Form		
Completed Municipal	October 4, 2012	Silvercreek Solar Park
Consultation Form		Inc.

Additional meetings and discussions with the municipality occurred throughout the development to keep the municipality informed on project developments as well as to solicit input on the project design. A detailed summary of consultation can be found in the beginning of Exhibit G. Consultations regarding roadway alignment for the distribution line occurred through the spring of 2012, and will continue throughout the construction period for the project. Consultation will continue with the municipality throughout construction and operations of the project. Details of this can be found in Section 5.

3.2.2 Overview of Comments from Malahide Township Municipal Staff

On May 16, 2011 the Municipality provided comments to the draft reports provided on January 18, 2012. These comments are summarized below and can be found in Exhibit G. On July 25, 2012 Silvercreek Solar Park Inc. responded to these comments by way of a letter which also updated the municipality on the status of the consultation program, provided advanced notification of the open house notification to be published on August 2, 2012 and included revised summaries of the Draft REA documents to be published to the general public on August 2, 2012.

Table 6 – Malahide Township Consultation Summary

Theme	Comment	Response			
Comments Provided	Comments Provided May 16, 2012				
Project Description	Section 1.3 Authorizations Required should	References have been added to include the requirement			
	include the Township of Malahide and Elgin	for building permits, road use agreements, and the			
	County.	requirement to enter into an agreement regarding use of			
		the municipal right-of-way.			
	There is insufficient detail in Section 2.2	Additional details regarding the construction of the			
	Construction Activities with respect to the	distribution line can be found within the construction			
	transmission line.	Report, Section 2.1.7 Distribution Line. It is			
		acknowledged that the description provided outlines a			
		general approach to construction of the distribution line.			
		At this stage of project development the Proponent has			
		developed a proposed line route and potential			
		construction contractors have reviewed the site			
		conditions. A detailed construction plan will be			
		developed by the successful contractor and submitted to			
		the Municipality for review. It is further anticipated			
		that such a plan would be a municipal requirement for			
		issuance of an easement agreement for use of the			
		municipal right-of-way.			
	Landownership should identify that the proponent	Noted and incorporated into Section 2.4.			
	will need to enter into a franchise agreement with				
	the Township for the purposes of the transmission				
	line or whatever term you wish to use.				

	T
Section 3.3 Water Bodies does not address the	Additional details have been provided in the Design and
water crossings on the transmission route. More	Operations Report. Figures 1,2,3,4 and 5 provide
Detail needed	detailed mapping regarding the location of these water
	crossings. Further, Section 2.2 of the Design and
	Operations Report provides an additional text
	description. A detailed discussion and assessment of
	the water crossings can be found within the
	Waterbodies Report prepared by Natural Resource
	Solutions Inc. (NRSI).
The first paragraph in Section 3.7 <i>Provincial and</i>	Noted and incorporated into Section 3.7, including the
Local Infrastructure, Construction, suggest that	construction Plan Report.
agreements and easements "must or shall" be	
required with the Township. This paragraph needs	
to be worded in such a manner so as to recognize	
that agreements with the Township will be a	
requirement of the project.	
The second paragraph does not adequately address	The intent of the Project Description Report is to
the environmental effects during the construction	provide a broad overview of the project. Detailed
of the transmission line.	discussions regarding the distribution line can be found
	within the Section 2.1.7 and Section 3 of the
	Construction Report. Within the Construction Report
	(Section 2.1.7) we have added the following language
	to communicate our intent to fully inform and involve
	the municipality "A construction plan will be prepared
	by the construction contractor which will provide
	additional detail on methods and types of construction.
	This plan will be provided to the Township of Malahide

		for review and approval." We trust that this additional assurance is satisfactory.
	Section 3.8 <i>Public Health and Safety</i> does not adequately add construction related activities on the transmission line.	Silvercreek will submit to the municipality for approval and prior to construction, a detailed construction management plan regarding activities associated with the distribution line.
Construction Plan Report	In section 2.1.7 in the 2 nd <i>Paragraph</i> , it should identify that a construction plan and schedule will be prepared to the satisfaction of the Township which will detail the methods and type of construction for their approval.	Noted and included in Section 2.1.7.
	The <i>Traffic Management Plan</i> , referenced in section 2.2.1 requires municipal approval. This should be identified in the report.	Noted and included in Section 2.2.1.
	The transmission line appears not to have been addressed in Table 2: <i>Environmental Effects</i> , <i>Mitigation & Monitoring</i> . Please include an assessment of the transmission in the appropriate sections.	At the time of the January 2011 submission to the municipality this information was not available. Information regarding the Environmental Effects, Mitigation and Monitoring for the distribution line are now included in Table 3 of the Construction Plan Report. Additional information can also be found within the Waterbodies Report prepared by NRSI.
	Building Permits are required for certain parts of the construction. The housing for the electrical controls and if the panel is greater than 5 square meters it may be considered a designated structure under the building code require permit.	Noted.

Decommissioning Plan	In Section 2, 3.4kV Distribution Line the report should identify that a franchise agreement will be required with the Township which address, to the satisfaction of the Township, the decommissioning and/or abandonment of the transmission line.	Added reference to franchise agreement requirement.
	What assurances are in place that the decommissioning addresses the proper demolition and disposal of solar panels? It also needs language to the effect that the dismantled material will not be stored on site for an extended period of time. My understanding is that the solar panels are not that easy to get rid of.	In the event that decommissioning of the project is required at the conclusion of the Power Purchase Agreement decommissioning would occur within one calendar year to allow for a timely resumption of farm activities. Estimated decommissioning costs have been established to ensure that adequate funds are reserved near the end of project life to cover these costs if required. Several solar panel manufacturer's, such as Suntech offer end of life management and recycling options. A copy of Suntech's corporate policy is attached for reference.
Design and Operations Report	There is very little detail in the operation report on the transmission line. More detail is required on the operation and oversight of the transmission line. Specifically, I would like to see how the line is to be identified in the field and how the proponent is going to address locate requests.	Silvercreek will provide copies of drawings to the municipality and provide contact information to utility providers sharing the ROW. It is suggested that additional requirements for line identification in the field and the management of locate request be addressed via the franchise agreement.

	It would appear that the transmission line was not included on the Natural Heritage Assessment or Environmental Impact Study.	At the time of the January 18, 2012 Draft Report only preliminary information was available. Additional details have been provided.
Comments Provid	ed October 4, 2012 – Consultation Form	
	The applicant will be required to enter into a franchise agreement for the purposes of placing infrastructure on Township of Malahide Road Allowance	Consultation and negotiation regarding the Franchise agreement is well underway
	Building permits and site plan review to be discussed and reviewed prior to construction as discussed with applicant	Noted
	Sufficient water must be provided on site for firefighting purposes as approved by the Township Fire Chief	Noted

3.3 Elgin County Consultation

Consultation with the Elgin County began in November of 2009 and is summarized below and in detail in the consultation summary table provided at the beginning of Exhibit H. Throughout the planning process the Project Team has maintain contact with the County keeping them appraised of project status and attempting to notify them of public notifications prior to their publication. Consultation with the County was done in accordance with the January 2011 O.Reg 359/09 requirements which required the municipality to be provided with copies of the Draft Project Description Report, Draft Construction Plan Report, Draft Design and Operations Report, and Draft Decommissioning Report along with a consultation form no less than 90 days prior to the second public open house.

3.3.1 Notices and Municipal Consultation Form Distribution

The delivery of required Notices, Reports and the Municipal Consultation Form is summarized in Table 7 below.

Table 7 – Elgin County Consultation Timeline

Milestone	Date	Delivered To
Notice of Engagement and	November 17, 2009	CAO
Notice of Open House		
Draft REA Reports & Municipal	January 18, 2012	CAO
Consultation Form		
Completed Municipal	March 19, 2012	Silvercreek Solar
Consultation Form		Park Inc.
Notice of Open House, Revised	August 2, 2012	CAO
Draft REA Reports (for public		
review) and Municipal		
Consultation Form		

3.3.2 Overview of Consultation with Elgin County

On June 13, 2012 the County of Elgin returned the consultation form with the following comments included. An additional comment form was provided with the revised reports in August 2012 and a letter was provided allowing them the opportunity to provided revisions to their original comments. As of the date of this report no additional comments had been provided.

Table 8 – Elgin County Consultation Summary

Theme	Comment	Response
Distribution Line	Distribution lines will run for 15kms for the most part along County Rds. (Imperial Rd. CR 73, Glencolin Line – CR 32)	Consultation and negotiation regarding a franchise agreement is well underway
	County expects that any traffic issues associated with the placement of distribution lines along county roads will be carried out in accordance with Elgin County policies on traffic management	Noted
Site Plan	Have not seen a landscape plan	A site plan will be developed as part of the construction phase of the project and will be provided for review at that time
Health & Safety	Section 3.8 under project description provides information about public health & Safety. Unable to comment on sufficiency of this info	Further information was provided in the updated package provided in August 2012
	The County reviewer did not notice any emergency management procedures/policies	Further information was provided in the updated package provided in August 2012.
Local Infrastructure	Proponent will need to consult with county and local utility companies that may have buried infrastructure located in County R.O.W.	Noted
Natural Heritage	Appears that the natural features study and water bodies assessment is still with MNR for review	Further information was provided in the updated packaged in August 2012 including confirmation letter from MNR
Archaeological	Confirmation of archaeological findings is currently pending with the Ministry of Culture	Further information was provided in the updated package in August 2012.

3.4 Correspondence with Other Agencies

Starting in the fall of 2009 the Project Team corresponded with various agencies at the provincial and federal level in order to determine whether permits or approvals were required for the project. A record of this consultation can be found in the Distribution List in Exhibit A. This section will cover those agencies for which more detailed consultation was undertaken.

3.4.1 Correspondence with the Ministry of Natural Resources

The Aylmer District of Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) was faxed a copy of the Notice of Engagement and Notice of Open House on November 17, 2009. A Project Description was provided for their review on December 16, 2009 and an initial scoping meeting was held with the Project Team on December 18, 2009. As the Project was not awarded a Feed-in Tariff (FIT) Contract in April of 2010 the project was assigned a lower review priority.

In July 2011 when the project was awarded a FIT Contract the Project Team was contacted by the Renewable Energy Field Operations Team who became the central contact for the Natural Heritage Assessment for the Project. The MNR provided the Project Team with a Confirmation Letter on July 9, 2012. A detailed summary of consultation and the confirmation letter can be found in Exhibit I.

In accordance with the January 2011 requirements of O.Reg 359/09 a confirmation letter from the MNR was required before proceeding second open house posting and sixty day public review period of the draft REA package.

3.4.2 Ministry of the Environment Consultation

Consultation with the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) began early in November of 2009. The Project team included the London district office in project updates where possible. Table 9 below summarizes the Notices which were provided with respect to this project. A more detailed summary of consultation can be found in Exhibit J. In addition to the Milestones described below the Project Team reviewed the Project status with the MOE in 2011 after the FIT contract was awarded to ensure that the project work was still relevant, as a result reports were updated and an additional notice was provided to local landowners along the revised project footprint.

In November of 2012 the Project Team met with the MOE to discuss items specific to the project development and submission of the REA package. At this time it was discussed that due to ongoing stakeholder consultation and equipment availability it was necessary to incorporate minor modifications to the project design at the Solar Array location. A formal submission was made to the Director with regards to this minor modification, and a commitment to notify those landowners within 120m of the Project Location was made. This formal submission can be found in Exhibit J.

Table 9 – Ministry of the Environment Timeline of Consultation

Milestone	Date	Contact
Notice of Engagement	November 17, 2009	Director/London District
and Notice of Open		
House		
Project Description	November 18, 2009	REA Contact
Report		
Notice of Open House	August 2, 2012	Director/REA Contact &
		London District

3.4.3 Catfish Creek Conservation Authority

Throughout the consultation period the Project Team has been engaged with the Catfish Creek Conservation Authority (CCCA). This consultation became more involved in 2011 with the expansion of the project footprint to include several watercrossings for the distribution line and the removal of trees on portions on the substation property. A summary of consultation is provided in Exhibit K On September 18, 2012 CCCA provided comments to the draft reports, these comments and responses are summarized in Table 10 below.

CCCA will continue to be engaged throughout the construction and operations of the project.

Table 10 - Catfish Creek Conservation Authority Summary of Consultation

Theme	Comment	Response
Distribution	Page 12, Section 2.1.7 'Distribution	Reference Added
Line	Line'; this section should include a	
	statement indicating that a permit is	
	required by the CCCA, pursuant to	
	the Conservation Authorities Act ,	
	for the water crossings. We support	
	the installation of cable under the	
	water courses utilizing the	
	"directional drilling" method.	
Substation	Page 13, Section 2.1.8 'Substation	The intention is to
	Construction': The white pine	maintain as much of the
	plantation, which was established by	existing species as
	the CCCA in conjunction with the	possible.
	previous owner, should be protected	
	and managed on a long term basis to	
	contribute to the biodiversity of the	
	community. The CCCA can provide	
	forest management advice and	
	assistance, including the preparation	
	of a forest management plan under	
	the 'Managed Forest Tax Incentive	
	Program' (MTIP)	
Water	Page 18, Table 3; under 'Erosion and	This suggestion will be
Crossings	Sedimentation' a mitigation measure	carried forward to
	could include the installation of	construction
	"conduit pipe" under the water	
	crossing to facilitate future	
	maintenance and minimize impacts	
	to aquatic habitat.	

3.4.4 Other Agency Consultation

Throughout the course of project development consultations were undertaken with other agencies as outlined in the distribution list in Exhibit A. Detailed consultations with the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Ministry of Transportation and the Trillium Railway Corporation can be found in Exhibit L.

The MTO and Trillium Railway consultations will continue through the construction period as permits will be required for construction of the distribution line.

4. ABORIGINAL CONSULTATION

4.1 MOE Aboriginal List

The goal of Aboriginal engagement as it relates to the Project is to engage interested Aboriginal communities in a way that is meaningful and respectful of their Aboriginal and treaty rights and interests. In engaging these communities ORTECH sought to understand what their needs and concerns may be in relation to the Project and the potential impacts.

On November 18, 2009 in accordance with O.Reg 359/09, the draft Project Description Report was provided to the respective REA Contact person for this Project at the MOE in order to obtain the Aboriginal Communities List as per S.14 of the Regulation. Prior to this list being provided the proponent identified several communities within reasonable proximity to the project and notified them of the Project. These communities included the following:

- Oneida of the Thames
- Chippewas of the Thames
- Munsee-Delaware Nation
- Metis Nation of Ontario

In February 26, 2010 the MOE responded to the request to obtain the Aboriginal Communities List for the Project. This listed identified the following communities in addition to those above that may have protected rights or interests in the project:

- Six Nations of the Grand River Six Nations (Part) 40
- Six Nations of the Grand River Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council
- Bkejwanong Territory Walpole Island First Nation

In addition the Metis Nation of Ontario did not respond to the initial request for consultation and were not identified by the MOE and were therefore dropped from the distribution list. A copy of the Aboriginal Consultation List can be found in Exhibit M.

4.2 Oneida of the Thames

This community was provided with a copy of the Notice to Engage and Notice of Public Meeting by Email on November 26, 2009. A Project description was delivered to them on December 2, 2009 in advance of the public release. A letter was provided along with this package which requested that the community provide information that may be considered in the development of the project with regards to constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights.

Additionally a telephone message was left with Chief Joel Abram to confirm receipt of the package and to inquire if there were any questions on December 4, 2009. On December 15, 2009 an invitation was sent to the Chief inviting his community to the Project open house. No response at that time was provided from the Chief or any party in the Oneida community.

On July 25, 2012 pursuant to O.Reg 359/09 the draft Renewable Energy Approval package and summaries of all the project reports were provided for the community to review, additionally a letter was provided with this package outlining the project, the status of the project activities, the materials being provided and requesting once again that the community provide any information that should be considered in preparing related project documents related to potential adverse impacts on constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights, and measures for mitigation those treaty rights. A voicemail was left with the Chief on October 15, 2012 with regards to this package to inquire if the community had any comments related to the project. As of the date of this project no comments have been submitted to the Project Team. Copies of all correspondence can be found in Exhibit N.

In addition to the notifications above, Oneida of the Thames participated through a monitor in the Stage three and Stage four archaeological assessment work on the Solar Array location in the summer of 2012. A summary of this consultation will be provided in the Stage four archaeological assessment currently being prepared by Timmins Martelle Heritage Consultants.

It is understood that Oneida of the Thames may be participating as a member of the Six Nations of the Grand River Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council through the Haudenosaunee Development Institute but no formal confirmation of this has been received.

Table 11 –Oneida of the Thames Consultation Timeline

Milestone	Date	Delivered To
Notice of Project/Notice	November 26, 2009	Chief Joel Abram
of Open House		
Project Description	December 2, 2009	Chief Joel Abram
Summaries of Documents	July 25, 2012	Chief Joel Abram
Draft REA Package	July 25, 2012	Chief Joel Abram
Notice of Second Open	July 25, 2012	Chief Joel Abram
House		

4.3 Chippewas of the Thames First Nation

This community was provided with a copy of the Notice to Engage and Notice of Public Meeting by Email on November 18, 2009. A Project description was delivered to them on December 2, 2009 in advance of the public release. A letter was provided along with this package which requested that the community provide information that may be considered in the development of the project with regards to constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights.

Additionally a telephone message was left with Chief Joe Miskokomon to confirm receipt of the package and to inquire if there were any questions on December 4, 2009. On December 15, 2009 an invitation was sent to the Chief inviting his community to the Project open house. No response at that time was provided from the Chief or any party in the Chippewa community.

On July 25, 2012 pursuant to O.Reg 359/09 the draft Renewable Energy Approval package and summaries of all the project reports were provided for the community to review, additionally a letter was provided with this package outlining the project, the status of the project activities, the materials being provided and requesting once again that the community provide any information that should be considered in preparing related project documents related to

potential adverse impacts on constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights, and measures for mitigation those treaty rights. A voicemail was left with the Chief on October 15, 2012 with regards to this package to inquire if the community had any comments related to the project. As of the date of this project no comments have been submitted to the Project Team. Copies of all correspondence can be found in Exhibit O.

In addition to the notifications above the Chippewas of the Thames were involved in discussions during the Stage three and Stage four archaeological assessment on the Solar Array location in the summer of 2012. There were initial discussions regarding them providing an onsite monitor but this never came about. A summary of this consultation will be provided in the Stage four archaeological assessment currently being prepared by Timmins Martelle Heritage Consultants.

Table 12 - Chippewas of the Thames Consultation Timeline

Milestone	Date	Delivered To
Notice of Project/Notice	November 18, 2009	Beulah Kechego
of Open House		
Project Description	December 2, 2009	Beulah Kechego
Summaries of Documents	July 25, 2012	Chief Joe Miskokomon
Draft REA Package	July 25, 2012	Chief Joe Miskokomon
Notice of Second Open	July 25, 2012	Chief Joe Miskokomon
House		

4.4 Munsee-Delaware Nation

This community was provided with a copy of the Notice to Engage and Notice of Public Meeting by Email on November 18, 2009. A Project description was delivered to them on December 2, 2009 in advance of the public release. A letter was provided along with this package which requested that the community provide information that may be considered in the development of the project with regards to constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights.

Additionally a telephone message was left with Chief Patrick Waddilove to confirm receipt of the package and to inquire if there were any questions on

December 4, 2009. On December 15, 2009 an invitation was sent to the Chief inviting his community to the Project open house. No response at that time was provided from the Chief or any party in the Chippewa community.

On July 25, 2012 pursuant to O.Reg 359/09 the draft Renewable Energy Approval package and summaries of all the project reports were provided for the community to review, additionally a letter was provided with this package outlining the project, the status of the project activities, the materials being provided and requesting once again that the community provide any information that should be considered in preparing related project documents related to potential adverse impacts on constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights, and measures for mitigation those treaty rights. A voicemail was left with the Chief on October 15, 2012 with regards to this package to inquire if the community had any comments related to the project. As of the date of this project no comments have been submitted to the Project Team. Copies of all correspondence can be found in Exhibit P.

In addition to the notifications above the community was involved in discussions during the Stage three and Stage four archaeological assessment on the Solar Array location in the summer of 2012. A summary of this consultation will be provided in the Stage four archaeological assessment currently being prepared by Timmins Martelle Heritage Consultants.

Table 13 – Munsee-Delaware Consultation Timeline

Milestone	Date	Delivered To
Notice of Project/Notice	November 18, 2009	Chief Patrick Waddilove
of Open House		
Project Description	December 2, 2009	Chief Patrick Waddilove
Summaries of Documents	July 25, 2012	Chief Patrick Waddilove
Draft REA Package	July 25, 2012	Chief Patrick Waddilove
Notice of Second Open	July 25, 2012	Chief Patrick Waddilove
House		

4.5 Bkejwanong Territory Walpole Island First Nation

This community was provided with a copy of the Notice to Engage and Notice of Public Meeting and a copy of the Project description on February 26, 2010. The letter provided along with this package requested that the community provide information that may be considered in the development of the project with regards to constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights.

Additionally a telephone message was left with Chief Joseph Gilbert to notify him of his inclusion in the project distribution list on February 24, 2010. No response at that time was provided from the Chief or any party in the Chippewa community.

On July 25, 2012 pursuant to O.Reg 359/09 the draft Renewable Energy Approval package and summaries of all the project reports were provided for the community to review, additionally a letter was provided with this package outlining the project, the status of the project activities, the materials being provided and requesting once again that the community provide any information that should be considered in preparing related project documents related to potential adverse impacts on constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights, and measures for mitigation those treaty rights. A voicemail was left with the Chief on October 15, 2012 with regards to this package to inquire if the community had any comments related to the project. As of the date of this project no comments have been submitted to the Project Team. Copies of all correspondence can be found in Exhibit Q.

In addition to the notifications above the community was involved in discussions during the Stage three and Stage four archaeological assessment on the Solar Array location in the summer of 2012. A summary of this consultation will be provided in the Stage four archaeological assessment currently being prepared by Timmins Martelle Heritage Consultants.

Table 14 –Bkejwanong Territory Walpole Island First Nation Consultation Timeline

Milestone	Date	Delivered To
Notice of Project/Notice	February 26, 2010	Chief Joseph Gilbert
of Open House		
Project Description	February 26, 2010	Chief Joseph Gilbert
	-	-
Summaries of Documents	July 25, 2012	Chief Joseph Gilbert
Draft REA Package	July 25, 2012	Chief Joseph Gilbert
Notice of Second Open	July 25, 2012	Chief Joseph Gilbert
House		

4.6 Six Nations of the Grand River Six Nations (Part) 40

This community was provided with a copy of the Notice to Engage and Notice of Public Meeting and a copy of the Project description on February 26, 2010. The letter provided along with this package requested that the community provide information that may be considered in the development of the project with regards to constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights.

Additionally in a telephone call Chief William Montour on February 24, 2010 a Member of the Project Team notified him of the project, discussed the status of the consultation and let him know that they would provide a hard copy of the project description for his review.

On July 25, 2012 pursuant to O.Reg 359/09 the draft Renewable Energy Approval package and summaries of all the project reports were provided for the community to review, additionally a letter was provided with this package outlining the project, the status of the project activities, the materials being provided and requesting once again that the community provide any information that should be considered in preparing related project documents related to potential adverse impacts on constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights, and measures for mitigation those treaty rights.

As of the date of this project no comments have been submitted to the Project Team. Copies of all correspondence can be found in Exhibit R. It is understood

that Six Nations of the Grand River Six Nations Part (40) will be participating as a member of the Six Nations of the Grand River Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council through the Haudenosaunee Development Institute but no formal confirmation of this has been received.

In addition to the notifications above the community was involved in discussions during the Stage three and Stage four archaeological assessment on the Solar Array location in the summer of 2012. A summary of this consultation will be provided in the Stage four archaeological assessment currently being prepared by Timmins Martelle Heritage Consultants.

Table 15 –Six Nations of the Grand River Six Nations Part (40) Consultation Timeline

Milestone	Date	Delivered To
Notice of Project/Notice of Open House	February 26, 2010	Chief William Montour
Project Description	February 26, 2010	Chief William Montour
Summaries of Documents	July 25, 2012	Chief William Montour
Draft REA Package	July 25, 2012	Chief William Montour
Notice of Second Open House	July 25, 2012	Chief William Montour

4.7 Six Nations of the Grand River Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council/Haudenosaunee Development Institute

On February 24, 2011 a call was placed to Chief Allen MacNaughton to discuss the status of the project and to notify him that the Council had been identified through the MOE consultation list and to notify him that we would be forwarding a Project Description package for his review and comment. At that time Chief MacNaughton directed Silvercreek Solar to provide all details regarding the development to the Haudenosaunee Development Institute (HDI).

The Project Team provided both Chief MacNaughton of the Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council and HDI with packages containing copies of the Notice to Engage and Notice of Public Meeting and a copy of the Project description on March 3, 2010. Additionally a letter provided along with this package requested that the community provide information that may be considered in the development of the project with regards to constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights.

On July 25, 2012 pursuant to O.Reg 359/09 the draft Renewable Energy Approval package and summaries of all the project reports were provided for the community to review, additionally a letter was provided with this package outlining the project, the status of the project activities, the materials being provided and requesting once again that the community provide any information that should be considered in preparing related project documents related to potential adverse impacts on constitutionally protected aboriginal or treaty rights, and measures for mitigation those treaty rights.

On July 31, 2012 HDI responded by letter to the Project Team's submission with a confirmation of identified treaty rights (1701 Treaty) in the area impacted by the projected. This letter described HDI's established process for application of engagement, and can be seen in Exhibit S. Following this submission Silvercreek Solar Park submitted the HDI Application for Engagement in full on August 15, 2012. The application included a development fee allowing HDI access to resources to properly evaluate the Application for Engagement which included components of the Draft REA submission. A copy of this application can be found in Exhibit T. This application was followed up on throughout late September and early October 2012 prior to the October 4, 2012 Second Public Meeting, during which time a meeting was scheduled between HDI and the Project team for October 24, 2012. The correspondence for this period can be found in Exhibit S.

On October 24, 2012 the Project Team met with representatives from HDI to discuss the Project and the status of various studies and to discuss the implications of the project on the treaty rights of the project. During this meeting no formal comments were submitted for review or response. Minutes from this meeting are provided in Exhibit S.

In Follow up to this meeting a meeting was held with one representative from HDI in the offices of ORTECH on November 5, 2012. This discussion centered around possible compensation for the loss of use rights of the land, and the

possibility of establishing a MOU. At this time HDI had not submitted formal comments with regards to the reports.

Table 16 – Six Nations of the Grand River Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council/HDI Consultation Timeline

Milestone	Date	Delivered To
Notice of Project/Notice	March 3, 2010	Chief Allen
of Open House		MacNaughton/Hazel Hill
Project Description	March 3, 2010	Chief Allen
		MacNaughton/Hazel Hill
Summaries of Documents	July 25, 2012	Hazel Hill (HDI)
Draft REA Package	July 25, 2012	Hazel Hill (HDI)
Notice of Second Open	July 25, 2012	Hazel Hill (HDI)
House		

5. ONGOING ENGAGEMENT

5.1 Community Updates

The community will continue to be updated throughout construction and operations for the project through a variety of methods including direct mailings, newspaper notices and updates to the project website.

Construction

During construction the project website will be updated prior to the start of construction activities. Interested members of the public will be able to use the project email address (info@silvercreeksolar.com) to sign up for the distribution list in order to receive project updates. An updated mailing address and phone number will be provided to ensure that stakeholders are able to contact the Project Coordinator to sign up for the distribution list or to voice their comments and concerns during the construction period.

During the construction period it is proposed that status updates will be posted to the website, and that monthly newsletters will be posted to the distribution lists, detailing status updates, milestones and potential delays. Prior to construction initiation along the distribution line route a notice will be posted to the local paper notifying the community about potential lane closures along this roadway. Signage will be posted at the Solar Array, the substation site and along the distribution line route which provides contact information for the project so that any interested party can easily access information, make comments and ask questions.

Operations

During operations the project website will be updated periodically to keep local stakeholders aware of the operations status of the project. Additionally website updates and quarterly newsletters will be used to notify stakeholders of scheduled and unscheduled maintenance activities on the site.

Stakeholders will continue to be able to sign up for the distribution list through the website or by direct communication with the Project Coordinator.

Signage around the project site will direct stakeholders how to contact the Project Coordinator.

5.2 Community Contact Information

The project website and all project notifications will be updated with the project email (<u>info@silvercreeksolar.com</u>) and an updated project phone number and address from the Project Coordinator who will serve as the point of contact for the project following the conclusion of the permitting process.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS & REFERENCES

This report was prepared by:

Scott Manser, P.Eng.
Senior Project Manager
Compliance & Permitting
&
Leah Deveaux
Environmental Assessment Specialist
ORTECH Environmental

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit A
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit A: Project Distribution List

(2 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit B
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit B: Project Notifications

(7 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit C
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit C: Project Communications

(6 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit D
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit D: Open House #1 Dec 18, 2009

(17 pages)

Exhibit B
Project No. 70287

Exhibit E: Malahide Township Council Meeting (14 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit F
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit F: Open House #2 Oct 2, 2012

(15 pages)

Exhibit G
Project No. 70287

Exhibit G: Municipal Consultation: Malahide Township (95 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit H
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit H: Municipal Consultation: Elgin County

(87 pages)

Exhibit 1
Project No. 70287

Exhibit I: Agency Consultation: MNR

(118 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit J
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit J: Agency Consultation: MOE

(54 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit K
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit K: Agency Consultation: CCCA

(13 pages)

Exhibit I
Project No. 70287

Exhibit L: Agency Consultation: Other Agencies (21 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit M
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit M: Aboriginal Consultation List

(3 pages)

URIECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit N
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit N: Oneida of the Thames

(13 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit C
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit O: Chippewas of the Thames First Nation

(11 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit P
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit P: Munsee-Delaware Nation

(11 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit Q
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit Q: Bkejwanong Territory Walpole Island First Nation (9 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit R
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 70287

Exhibit R: Six Nations of the Grand River Six Nations (Part) 40 (8 pages)

Exhibit S
Project No. 70287

Exhibit S: Six Nations of the Grand River Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council/Haudenosaunee Development Institute

(36 pages)

ORTECH Power	
Consultation Report	Exhibit T
to the Ministry of the Environment	Project No. 7028

CONFIDENTIAL

Exhibit T: HDI Application for Engagement

(290 pages)